

The Trust Reader

CHARLES H. GREEN ARTICLE SERIES VOL. 7

FEATURING

OPEN LETTER TO CLIENTS: WHY YOU SHOULD DROP THE RFP



This article ebook series is distributed to announce new articles that I've published on trustedadvisor.com.

In this issue you will find the complete release of one article as well as announcements and links to two other new articles that are being released at the same time.

If you enjoy these articles, I encourage you to email them to a friend by following this [link](#). If you received this from a friend or colleague and would like to [subscribe to this series](#), simply click [here](#).

“The simple truth is we give up a lot when we refuse on principle to listen to the expertise possessed by the sellers of complex services.”

TrustedAdvisor ASSOCIATES



The Trust Reader

CHARLES H. GREEN ARTICLE SERIES VOL. 7

OPEN LETTER TO CLIENTS: WHY YOU SHOULD DROP THE RFP

BY CHARLES H. GREEN

Other new articles
recently posted to
trustedadvisor.com

[Competitive Theory and
Business Legitimacy](#)

[Rebuilding Trust in the
Financial Sector](#)

Dear Mr. or Ms. Client:

You may be tempted to reject this letter because the author is a seller of services rather than a buyer and therefore might be biased.

In a nutshell, that's what's wrong with RFPs (Requests for Proposals). They reject the upside benefits of experience in order to avoid the downside risk of undue influence. That's a bad deal for the client, but there are things both client and provider can do to improve it.

WHEN TO USE RFPs

RFPs in their simplest mode are formal invitations from a buyer company to a limited number of sellers to propose on a project. They describe what the buying company is looking for in terms of approach, credentials, models, project management, evaluative criteria, and

pricing. They typically come with a due date and generally offer very minimal contact with the seller in advance of that date. What contact is offered is carefully made available to all sellers.

Let's acknowledge that there are situations in which RFPs are appropriate and desirable. First and foremost are government contracts. Unlike private companies, governmental entities are "owned" by all citizens. And the appearance of improper influence is per se destructive of our faith in government. Most citizens don't begrudge the use of RFPs as a blunt instrument way to ensure transparency, lack of favoritism, and corruption.

In the private sector RFPs are also occasionally desirable, such as if there's a history of purchasing abuse by the buying firm or a concern about undue exposure to an oligopolistic supplier industry.

*This article was first
published on raintoday.com*

The Trust Reader

CHARLES H. GREEN
ARTICLES

“Unlike private companies, governmental entities are “owned” by all citizens. And the appearance of improper influence is per se destructive of our faith in government.”

The virtues of RFPs in these cases are clear: they proscribe “unfair” behaviors like access to internal managers, pre-existing personal relationships, or excessively subjective decisions. In such cases, the RFP performs an important role of guaranteeing some transparency and consistency of approach. As one buyer put it, “It allows us to compare everyone on an apples-to-apples basis.”

Fair enough. But then there are the other cases—cases where RFPs are used for other reasons entirely to the detriment of the buying organization. Let’s turn to those.

WHEN NOT TO USE RFPs

Here are some of the other reasons buying organizations often resort to RFPs:

“The people in finance are comfortable with a Big 4 firm in here, but marketing wants a firm with serious marketing capabilities. An RFP will let us compare the pros and cons independently.”

“The suppliers out there all know way more than we do, and we’ll get taken to the cleaners if we’re not careful.”

“The people we have managing the buying process are not experienced at buying. They are vulnerable, and can easily be swayed by experienced sellers who can put one past them.”

“We have people whose job it is to make purchase decisions, and we want to make sure they do a thorough, objective job.”

“We want to send a message loud and clear about what it is we want and don’t want. We will define the problem, and we don’t want vendors telling us they need to do massive studies starting at the CEO’s office to fix it.”

These motivations boil down to five uses of RFPs: to resolve political conflicts, to mitigate low product expertise, to substitute for purchasing expertise, to leverage existing purchasing expertise, and to prevent escalation of the original problem statement.

All, however, have two things in common. They prevent dialogue between buyer and seller, and they predefine not only the problem but much of the solution as well.

It’s hard to imagine a worse way to buy something complex. Would you tell your family doctor just what medical condition you had and how to treat it? Would you instruct your accountant in the tax code and how to deal with the IRS? Would you buy a first house and tell your broker, banker, and home inspector just what they had to do?

The Trust Reader

CHARLES H. GREEN
ARTICLES

“Any good professional will bring to the sales process some level of insight, perspective, and information that the client didn’t have, if only to demonstrate their competence.”

The simple truth is we give up a lot when we refuse on principle to listen to the expertise possessed by the sellers of complex services. The question is do we get enough in return to make it worthwhile to remain willfully ignorant?

WHAT YOU GAIN, AND LOSE, WITH AN RFP

What you gain with an RFP is simple: a reduction in the risk that a seller will talk you into something you don’t think you want. Let’s examine that.

At the root, this is a fear-based concern. Fear that “those vendors” have bad motives, that we as clients are not clever enough to outsmart them, that our ignorance is a weakness to be defended against. And most of all, fear that we will somehow be persuaded to change our mind against our will (as if the act of buying makes our will either disappear or become itself suspect).

Put more simply, RFPs are a natural outgrowth of an inability to trust not just a buyer but buyers in general. We don’t have to claim that all sellers are trustworthy to see that this inability to trust comes at a great cost to buyers.

When buyers refuse to trust and resort to an RFP, they shut themselves off from information only the sellers have. More important, they shut themselves off from the perspective that sellers have gained from long experience with other buyers.

RFP buyers also believe (falsely) that their diagnosis has been confirmed because they interpret a bid as validating their problem statement. Yet, as David Maister, author of *Managing the Professional Services Firm*, once wrote, “The problem is almost never what the client said it was in the first meeting.” That’s not a claim that clients are dumb and consultants are smart; it’s an observation about how talking solely to oneself rarely results in insights as good as those that come from talking with others.

Most of all, clients who resort to RFPs deprive themselves of the best kind of advice at a time when they can most use it and can even get some of it for free. Any good professional will bring to the sales process some level of insight, perspective, and information that the client didn’t have, if only to demonstrate their competence. (If a consultant doesn’t sell that way, the client is justified in rejecting them because it reflects a stingy self-orientation that will probably manifest itself again in the engagement.)

The Trust Reader

CHARLES H. GREEN
ARTICLES

*“Don’t be afraid to talk.
Without risk, there is no
opportunity for gain, and no
one can force you to make a
decision you don’t want.”*

Finally, the use of an RFP is the ultimate indication that the client fears insight and information from the outside world. This closed view of the world doesn’t just hurt buying decisions; it hurts in viewing competition, customers, and trends that affect the firm in general. The belief that one can have one’s will hijacked by another is a form of victimhood that doesn’t play out well in the medium run, never mind the long run. The ostrich “head in the sand” strategy is not a good one.

WHAT’S A CLIENT TO DO?

Here are four key steps a client can take to gain from the proposal process without having to resort to RFPs:

Invite several firms in, one at a time, to talk on a casual basis about your general issue of concern. “We don’t think we’re getting enough productivity out of our workforce, and suspect employee engagement might help,” or “We’re not sure how to use social media in our marketing” are the kinds of statements that are sufficient as an agenda.

Tell them you don’t want a long presentation; you want an informal discussion about those issues, and you want them to help you think about your options in approaching the issue. Tell them you have no pre-determined outcome of the meeting.

In the meeting, be open to their suggestions—if they make sense to you. If they want to talk to others in your organization, ask why, and if the answer makes sense to you, make others available. If it doesn’t, say no. Feel free to say you’re talking to others. You have nothing to hide. Your objective in the meeting is to improve your decision about how to address your issue.

Trust your gut feeling. Did they talk about you and your issues, or did they talk about themselves? Did you feel “sold” to or listened to? Did they seem street-smart or just book-smart—or not smart at all? Were they willing to take some risks with you, or were they overly cautious? Could you see working with these people for an extended period of time? Do you trust their motives? Do their plans make sense?

If you can’t answer “yes” to enough of those questions, then you’ve gotten more risk-protection than you would have from an RFP—and all it cost you was a few meetings. And you probably learned quite a bit along the way.

More than likely, though, you’ll hire one of the firms you met this way. And if you do, you’re already well along the way

The Trust Reader

CHARLES H. GREEN
ARTICLES

*“Don’t be afraid to talk.
Without risk, there is no
opportunity for gain, and no
one can force you to make a
decision you don’t want.”*


of getting to know each other—which improves the odds of doing the right work, and doing it well.


Don’t be afraid to talk. Without risk, there is no opportunity for gain, and no one can force you to make a decision you don’t want.

The Trust Reader

CHARLES H. GREEN ARTICLE SERIES VOL. 7

Other new articles at TrustedAdvisor.com

 You can subscribe to the series by clicking on the icon above or by following this [link](#) to get the latest on my writings and conversations of my blog.

 This ebook is protected under the [Creative Commons](#) license. No commercial use, no derivative work. Feel free to share it, post it, or copy it.

COMPETITIVE THEORY AND BUSINESS LEGITIMACY

Porter is right that the key to legitimacy lies within business, not outside it.

This article was first published on [Businessweek.com](#)

[READ MORE](#)

REBUILDING TRUST IN THE FINANCIAL SECTOR

The regulatory solution has one major problem: It tries to solve an issue of social trust with politics.

This article was first published on [Businessweek.com](#)

[READ MORE](#)

ABOUT CHARLES H. GREEN



[Charles H. Green](#) is a speaker and executive educator on trust-based relationships and Trust-based Selling in complex businesses. He is author of [Trust-based Selling](#) (McGraw-Hill, 2005), and co-author of [The Trusted Advisor](#) (with David Maister and Rob Galford, Free Press, October 2000). Charles has spoken before a variety of industry and functional groups. An engaging and content-rich speaker,

he has taught in executive education programs for the Kellogg Graduate School of Business at Northwestern, and for Columbia University Graduate School of Business, as well as through his own firm, [Trusted Advisor Associates](#). His work centers on improving trust-based relationship and business development skills for businesses with complex service offerings. Business development, and on building profitable trust-based relationships.

The Trust Reader

CHARLES H. GREEN ARTICLE SERIES VOL. 7

TQ Diagnostic Test

[TAKE THE TQ Diagnostic Test](#) and discover your Trust Temperament™.

Answer 20 simple questions based on the [Trust Equation](#), and you will discover a powerful tool for business success—your Trust Quotient and your Trust Temperament™. These revealing answers will tell what you do that helps people trust you, and the things you can do to improve the way you are perceived.

Your Trust Temperament report will tell you whose trust you are most likely to gain, what about you people are likely to trust, and specific actions you can take to be as trustworthy, and as trusted, as possible, so you can:

- Increase sales results
- Improve credibility in business
- Build deeper and more satisfying personal relationships with people who matter

Invest in yourself now! Take the Trust Quotient diagnostics now and get your 20+ page personal report now.

DEEP ANALYSIS, BIG REWARD, SMALL PRICE.

Take the TQ Diagnostic Test